

I was the Australian Doctor on the WHO's COVID-19 Mission to China

Here's what we found about the origins of the coronavirus

Dominic E. Dwyer

he annual FAOPMA-Pest Summit has a proud history of having some of the greatest speakers in the field of pest management and from the world of science.

At last year's meeting, we were privileged to have Prof. Dominic Dwyer, a world renown infectious disease specialist, to speak on COVID-19 from a clinical perspective and to discuss the future of the disease.

Recently he was asked by the World Health Organization to be part of a team of global experts to visit China and to examined the origins of SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19. As he provided such an excellent presentation at FAOPMA-Pest Summit, and that there has been a lot of debate about the origins of the virus, we thought that we would reproduce the article



Prof. Dwyer wrote, which appeared in Conversation (an academic based web site). In the first part of the article Prof. Dwyer describes the aims of the WHO mission.

The WHO Mission on the Origins of SARS-CoV-2

Our mission in Wuhan was a fascinating yet difficult experience – the science was wideranging, and the media and political pressure extraordinary.

The mission started in late 2020, with the WHO team meeting on Zoom (unfortunately usually on Geneva time zones) to plan the studies. Then we had two weeks 'hard' hotel quarantine with daily online meetings with Chinese colleagues from various government agencies, led by the National Health Commission. This was followed by a 'light' quarantine for another two weeks, analogous to a sporting team 'bubble', where we could meet face to face, but not wander around the city.

The work of the joint WHO–China project was organised into three areas:

- the animal and environmental aspects of the early days of the pandemic in Wuhan,
- the viral molecular epidemiology, and,
- the early clinical and epidemiology studies.

We visited the Huanan 'wet' market, the Wuhan Institute of Virology and the public health laboratories in both the Hubei Province CDC and the Wuhan CDC. It was easy to see how the market was such an 'amplifier' for the outbreak – crowded, lots of small stalls, and dubious ventilation and drainage.

A list of origins hypotheses was generated and 'rated' on the basis of the available evidence, and further work in China and elsewhere recommended. The viral sequence data and the epidemiology suggested there had been substantial unrecognised circulation in Wuhan in December 2019 through asymptomatic or mild disease transmission, and the 174 severe cases notified in December were but the tip of the iceberg.

Then back to experience hotel quarantine Sydney-style. The work has been punctuated by 12 nose/throat swabs, five blood samples, 20 oxygen and blood pressure measurements, 87 temperature assessments and more than 30 media interviews with outlets across Australia and around the world. I would like to acknowledge the support and assistance of the NSWHP Incident Management Team, colleagues at the ICPMR and Public Health Pathology, and the Strategic Communications team. The sooner the vaccine is administered to everyone the sooner we can get back to normal!

The Conversation Article; I was the Australian Doctor on the WHO's COVID-19 Mission to China.

I was the Australian doctor on the WHO's COVID-19 mission to China. Here's what we found about the origins of the coronavirus

As I write, I am in hotel quarantine in Sydney, after returning from Wuhan, China. There, I was the Australian representative on the international World Health Organization's (WHO) investigation into the origins of the SARS-CoV-2 virus.

Much has been said of the politics surrounding the mission to investigate the viral origins of COVID-19. So it's easy to forget that behind these investigations are real people. As part of the mission, we met the man who, on December 8, 2019, was the first confirmed COVID-19 case; he's since recovered. We met the husband of a doctor who died of COVID-19 and left behind a young child. We met the doctors who worked in the Wuhan hospitals treating those early COVID-19 cases, and learned what happened to them and their colleagues. We witnessed the impact of COVID-19 on many individuals and communities, affected so early in the pandemic, when we didn't know much about the virus, how it spreads, how to treat COVID-19, or its impacts.

We talked to our Chinese counterparts scientists, epidemiologists, doctors — over the four weeks the WHO mission was in China. We were in meetings with them for up to 15 hours a day, so we became colleagues, even friends. This allowed us to build respect and trust in a way you couldn't necessarily do via Zoom or email.

This is what we learned about the origins of SARS-CoV-2.

Animal origins, but not necessarily at the Wuhan markets

It was in Wuhan, in central China, that the virus, now called SARS-CoV-2, emerged in December 2019, unleashing the greatest infectious disease outbreak since the 1918-19 influenza pandemic.

Our investigations concluded the virus was most likely of animal origin. It probably crossed over to humans from bats, via an as-yet-unknown intermediary animal, at an unknown location. Such "zoonotic" diseases have triggered pandemics before. But we are still working to confirm the exact chain of events that led to the current pandemic. Sampling of bats in Hubei province and wildlife across China has revealed no SARS-CoV-2 to date.

We visited the now-closed Wuhan wet market which, in the early days of the pandemic, was blamed as the source of the virus. Some stalls at the market sold "domesticated" wildlife products. These are animals raised for food, such as bamboo rats, civets and ferret badgers. There is also evidence some domesticated wildlife may be susceptible to SARS-CoV-2. However, none of the animal products sampled after the market's closure tested positive for SARS-CoV-2.

We also know not all of those first 174 early COVID-19 cases visited the market, including the man who was diagnosed in December 2019 with the earliest onset date.

However, when we visited the closed market, it's easy to see how an infection might have spread there. When it was open, there would have been around 10,000 people visiting a day, in close proximity, with poor ventilation and drainage.

There's also genetic evidence generated during the mission for a transmission cluster there. Viral sequences from several of the market cases were identical, suggesting a transmission cluster. However, there was some diversity in other viral sequences, implying other unknown or unsampled chains of transmission.

A summary of modelling studies of the time to the most recent common ancestor of SARS-CoV-2 sequences estimated the start of the pandemic between mid-November and early December. There are also publications suggesting SARS-CoV-2 circulation in various countries earlier than the first case in Wuhan, although these require confirmation.

The market in Wuhan, in the end, was more of an amplifying event rather than necessarily a true ground zero. So we need to look elsewhere for the viral origins.

Frozen or refrigerated food not ruled out in the spread

Then there was the "cold chain" hypothesis. This is the idea the virus might have originated from elsewhere via the farming, catching, processing, transporting, refrigeration or freezing of food. Was that food ice cream, fish, wildlife meat? We don't know. It's unproven that this triggered the origin of the virus itself. But to what extent did it contribute to its spread? Again, we don't know.

Several "cold chain" products present in the Wuhan market were not tested for the virus. Environmental sampling in the market showed viral surface contamination. This may indicate the introduction of SARS-CoV-2 through infected people, or contaminated animal products and "cold chain" products. Investigation of "cold chain" products and virus survival at low temperatures is still underway.

Extremely unlikely the virus escaped from a lab

The most politically sensitive option we looked at was the virus escaping from a laboratory. We concluded this was extremely unlikely.

We visited the Wuhan Institute of Virology, which is an impressive research facility, and looks to be run well, with due regard to staff health.

We spoke to the scientists there. We heard that scientists' blood samples, which are routinely taken and stored, were tested for signs they had been infected. No evidence of antibodies to the coronavirus was found. We looked at their biosecurity audits. No evidence.

We looked at the closest virus to SARS-CoV-2 they were working on — the virus RaTG13 which had been detected in caves in southern China where some miners had died seven years previously.

But all the scientists had was a genetic sequence for this virus. They hadn't managed to grow it in culture. While viruses certainly do escape from laboratories, this is rare. So, we concluded it was extremely unlikely this had happened in Wuhan.

A team of investigators

When I say "we", the mission was a joint exercise between the WHO and the Chinese health commission. In all, there were 17 Chinese and ten international experts, plus seven other experts and support staff from various agencies. We looked at the clinical epidemiology (how COVID-19 spread among people), the molecular epidemiology (the genetic makeup of the virus and its spread), and the role of animals and the environment.

The clinical epidemiology group alone looked at China's records of 76,000 episodes from more

than 200 institutions of anything that could have resembled COVID-19 — such as influenzalike illnesses, pneumonia and other respiratory illnesses. They found no clear evidence of substantial circulation of COVID-19 in Wuhan during the latter part of 2019 before the first case.

Where to now?

Our mission to China was only phase one. We are due to publish our official report in the coming weeks. Investigators will also look further afield for data, to investigate evidence the virus was circulating in Europe, for instance, earlier in 2019. Investigators will continue to test wildlife and other animals in the region for signs of the virus. And we'll continue to learn from our experiences to improve how we investigate the next pandemic.

Irrespective of the origins of the virus, individual

people with the disease are at the beginning of the epidemiology data points, sequences and numbers. The long-term physical and psychological effects — the tragedy and anxiety — will be felt in Wuhan, and elsewhere, for decades to come.

This article first appeared in the Conversation and reprinted under Creative Commons licence: <u>https://theconversation.com/i-was-the-australiandoctor-on-the-whos-covid-19-mission-to-chinaheres-what-we-found-about-the-origins-of-thecoronavirus-155554</u>

Prof. Dominic E. Dwyer is the Director, NSW Health Pathology Public Health Pathology Statewide Service; and Director, NSWHP- Institute of Clinical Pathology & Medical Research, Westmead, NSW, Australia. Email: Dominic.Dwyer@health.nsw.gov.au

GAREEN AGRO SCIENCE CO., LTD. ALWAYS UNDERSTAND YOUR NEEDS

WWW.GREENBESTPRODUCT.COM

by Green Agro Science co., Itd. the largest online distribution center of pest control products in Thailand. We will support all PCO that needs high quality product, technology and we also import products from around the world. as we have over 30 years' experience on pest control service so we can know that we need to have new technology from around the world to support every industry in Thailand. Then we come out with the concept "Always understand your needs". so, if you love to export your pest control products and technology in to pest control market in Thailand then let us help you as we are the online distribution center in Thailand.

+66 81 421 8813 customer-service@greenbestproduct.com www.greenbestproduct.com